```
1
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
 2
                                          CIVIL ACTION NUMBER:
 3
                                          19-md-02875
   IN RE: VALSARTAN PRODUCTS
   LIABILITY LITIGATION
                                         MOTION HEARING via
                                          Teams
 5
 6
         Mitchell H. Cohen Building & U.S. Courthouse
         4th & Cooper Streets
 7
         Camden, New Jersey 08101
         January 24, 2024
 8
         Commencing at 1:03 p.m.
 9
                             THOMAS I. VANASKIE (RET.)
    BEFORE:
10
                             SPECIAL MASTER
11
    APPEARANCES:
12
13
         PRETI FLAHERTY BELIVEAU & PACHIOS LLP
              JOHN J. CRONAN, III, ESQUIRE
         BY:
14
         One City Center
         Portland, Maine 04101
15
         For the Plaintiffs
16
         RIVERO MESTRE LLP
17
         BY: ZALMAN KASS, ESQUIRE
         2525 Ponce De Leon Boulevard, Suite 1000
18
         Miami, Florida 33134
         For the Plaintiffs
19
20
21
      Ann Marie Mitchell, CRR, RDR, CCR, Official Court Reporter
22
                   AnnMarie Mitchell@njd.uscourts.gov
                             (856) 576-7018
23
      Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript
24
               produced by computer-aided transcription.
25
```

```
1
    APPEARANCES (Continued):
 2
         GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP
 3
         BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQUIRE
         3333 Piedmont Road, NE, Suite 2500
 4
         Atlanta, Georgia 30305
         For the Defendants, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.,
 5
         Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis
         Pharma, Inc.
 6
 7
         ULMER & BERNE LLP
         BY: JEFFREY D. GEOPPINGER, ESQUIRE
 8
         600 Vine Street, Suite 2800
         Cincinnati, Ohio 445202
 9
         For the Wholesaler Defendants and AmerisourceBergen
10
         NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP
11
         BY: D'LESLI DAVIS, ESQUIRE
         2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 3600
12
         Dallas, Texas 75201
         For the Defendant McKesson Corporation
13
14
    ALSO PRESENT:
15
         LORETTA SMITH, ESQUIRE
16
         Judicial Law Clerk to The Honorable Robert B. Kugler
17
         Larry MacStravic, Courtroom Deputy
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
             (PROCEEDINGS held via Teams before SPECIAL MASTER
 2
    THOMAS I. VANASKIE at 1:03 p.m.)
 3
             SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Why don't we get started.
 4
    As Mr. MacStravic has informed us, with Teams, people just
 5
    show up, so we don't have to worry about admitting anybody.
 6
             And we're ready to hear argument on the plaintiffs'
 7
    fact sheets for the irbesartan and losartan matters.
 8
             I see Mr. Geoppinger is here.
 9
             Who will be arguing on behalf of the plaintiffs?
10
             You're muted.
11
             MR. CRONAN: Your Honor, John Cronan here for the
12
    TPPs. I'll be arguing on behalf of the plaintiffs.
1.3
             SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: All right. Thank you.
14
             I guess I'll start with a question, Mr. Geoppinger,
15
    why shouldn't I simply follow what I did with respect to the
16
    discovery dispute that was decided back in August of '23 in
17
    Special Master Order Number 82 and then essentially affirmed
18
    by Judge Kugler?
19
             MR. GEOPPINGER: Good morning, Your Honor, or good
20
    afternoon.
21
             SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Good afternoon.
22
             MR. GEOPPINGER: Can you hear me okay?
23
             SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Yes, I can hear you fine.
24
    Thanks.
25
             MR. GEOPPINGER: Great, great. Thank you. We've had
```

```
some technical difficulties here this morning. I'm glad I'm able to be heard.
```

1.3

The short answer is, Your Honor, this is a little bit more -- this dispute here covers a little bit more ground than what we dealt with back in July and August of last year.

Yes, there are some same and similar requests on the unjust enrichment piece as to the wholesaler defendants that you may be familiar with and you may have recognized, but there are other requests here, for example, a large group of them being requests about, for instance, our innocent — the wholesalers' innocent seller defense that weren't at issue in that dispute that we dealt with back with regard to valsartan last summer. There's also other requests about other claims. And with respect to valsartan, we were focusing on unjust enrichment because that was what was certified.

Here with respect to the losartan and irbesartan cases, the plaintiffs have claims for unjust enrichment against the defendants who are at issue in this fact sheet.

And just to be clear, this fact sheet is for the third-party payors who have sued with respect to losartan and irbesartan, and the defendants in those cases are the wholesaler defendants as well as the manufacturer defendants, some of the same ones as in valsartan, some different ones, actually, but not the retailer defendants.

So there's some claims here at issue in this fact

```
1
    sheet that weren't at issue with respect to what we talked
 2
    about regarding valsartan last summer.
 3
             SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Are the wholesaler
 4
    defendants the only parties on the defense side that have a
    dispute with the plaintiffs concerning the fact sheet?
 5
 6
             MR. GEOPPINGER: The requests are -- there's two
 7
    original requests. There are requests that are specific to
 8
    the wholesaler defendants and then there's requests that are
 9
    more generally applicable to all the defendants.
10
             SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Okay. And so at issue now
11
    are only the requests applicable to the wholesaler defendants?
12
             MR. GEOPPINGER: I'm prepared to address all the
1.3
    disputed requests, Your Honor, both those specific to
14
    wholesalers as well as those sort of generally applicable to
15
    all the defendants in the losartan/irbesartan TPP cases.
16
             SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Okay. All right.
17
             I thought one way to proceed here, I wasn't sure we'd
18
    have to go that route, would be to go request by request.
19
    maybe that is the best way to go at it.
20
             As I understand it from the filing that was made
21
    yesterday, the matters at issue would be highlighted in green,
22
    at least with respect to the submission made yesterday.
23
             Is that correct?
24
             MR. GEOPPINGER: That's correct, Your Honor.
25
    think --
```

```
1
             MR. CRONAN: That's correct.
 2
             MR. GEOPPINGER: Yeah. Our submission last week
 3
    highlighted in green the requests that are in dispute.
 4
             As an aside, the good news is all the other stuff is
 5
    not in dispute. So as you can see, there is a good deal of
 6
    information that's not in dispute.
 7
             SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Right.
 8
             MR. GEOPPINGER: But the plaintiffs, I believe that
 9
    the -- like I said, we've had some technically difficulties,
10
    but I believe their Exhibit B to their filing yesterday is the
11
    same as what we filed with the green highlighting. They added
12
    in some yellow highlighting on things that are not in dispute
1.3
    but things that were different from valsartan.
14
             SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Okay. So let me ask you
15
    this, Mr. Cronan, do you think it's best if we proceed by
16
    disputed request by disputed request, one at a time?
17
             MR. CRONAN: Your Honor, I'm open to that approach.
18
             If I may be heard as to our general position before
19
    we go forward --
20
             SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE:
21
             MR. CRONAN: -- that would be great.
22
             You know, just to briefly lay out here, as outlined
23
    in our letter brief, the TPPs do not deny the fact that
24
    wholesalers are entitled to discovery here.
25
             The purpose of a fact sheet is to have a standardized
```

1.3

form to allow for the initial disclosure of certain facts to allow the parties to proceed with formal discovery.

The issue we have here is that the fact sheet that's been proposed goes far beyond what was used in the valsartan case, and it contains, after several rounds of meet and confers and exchange of the documents, about seven more sets of information than the valsartan fact sheet included and about 28 additional data points. So the TPPs have made significant concessions since they've received this proposed fact sheet from the wholesalers; however, the requested issue are -- there's several problems with them, from our perspective.

First, they're outside the scope of a plaintiffs fact sheet. They're simply much too broad, they're contention based in many instances, and they also put the TPPs in a difficult position because these requests cannot be answered at this time in large part. They require additional discovery, and many of them require expert analysis, you know.

The appropriate time for these requests, if any, is to revisit them after the fact sheets have been exchanged, preliminary information has been exchanged, and the parties can narrow the issues down.

Essentially, Your Honor, we as TPPs are being asked to prejudge and predict the outcome of the irbesartan and losartan litigation based on the path that the valsartan

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
litigation has taken, which is really not a fair position for
TPPs to be put in at this time, which is what these -- most of
these requests, if not all of these requests that are at
issue, are doing at this time.
         SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: All right. Well, I still
think we need to go through this one by one. That's my gut
reaction. And --
         MR. CRONAN: I'm open to that. We're open to that,
Your Honor. That's acceptable.
         SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: I mean, I know it's tedious
and time-consuming, but I think that's the best way to go. I
think that's the best way to go. I'd rather not, but I still
think it's better to do it this way.
         So why don't we -- and I'm looking at the document
that was filed yesterday, 2611-2. And I just want to look at
the requests that are in green, highlighted in green.
         And the first one I have appears on page 10. It's
page 11 of the ECF filing, but page 10 of the document itself.
It's heading E, as in Edward, Wholesaler Conduct and Damages.
And it asks for a description with particularity of any
wrongdoing, improper practice or action, and/or
misrepresentation by any wholesaler defendant upon which you
base your claims.
         Why shouldn't that -- why should that not be part of
core discovery?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
MR. CRONAN: Your Honor, it's the TPPs' position that
this request goes or this request for information goes beyond
the scope of core discovery precisely because it's a
contention request.
         This is not a TPP argument sheet or a TPP's
contention sheet. This is a sheet that's intended to get
facts -- basic facts out there that the parties can use for
discovery.
         This request is asking the TPPs to describe specific
wrongdoing or improper practices or actions, allegations,
essentially, and against the wholesaler defendants and what
their claims are based on.
         We simply -- it's simply not proper at this stage to
require the parties, the TPPs, to respond to -- this isn't
really a request for facts to begin with. This is a request
for our contentions, which we think is more appropriate, if at
any time, later on in the case.
         SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Mr. Geoppinger?
         MR. GEOPPINGER: Well, Your Honor, I think it's
```

MR. GEOPPINGER: Well, Your Honor, I think it's pretty simple. We dispute that, because I think this is a request for facts. I mean, we're asking -- look, if we made a misrepresentation, tell us what it is. That is a request for a fact. The misrepresentation would have already happened. They filed a lawsuit. They need to prove these kinds of things, improper practice, misrepresentation. If they're

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to proceed and prevail on consumer protection claims, on unjust enrichment claims, on the claims they have against the defendants in this case, wholesaler defendants -- this is about wholesaler defendants, and we'll stick to the wholesaler defendants on this one. But those are the claims against us by the TPPs with respect to losartan and irbesartan, along with implied warranty. And to prevail on those claims, they're going to have to prove as an element that we engaged in wrongdoing, that we made a misrepresentation. And asking them to identify the misrepresentation is an ask for a fact. What is the misrepresentation? Factually speaking, what in fact did we misrepresent to you? And the next question is after that, what did you do in reliance upon that? That's another fact. Okay? We made -- you say we made this misrepresentation, you said you did this. These things would have already happened. So they're not contentions, they're facts. And as Mr. Cronan agreed, we're here to get the facts out. So I think that real basic, Your Honor, these are requests for facts, these are not requests for contention. None of the things that we've asked for, I believe, are requests for contention. What we are looking for in this request and the next request and the one after that and the one we'll talk -- and

all of them that we'll discuss are the facts underlying their

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
That's what we're here to find out. I agree.
think this does the trick. If we need to -- you know, if
there's a better way to do it, I am open to it, but I think
these are questions about facts, and they should be answered
as such.
         MR. CRONAN: Your Honor, may I -- may I respond, Your
Honor?
         SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: I do want to hear from you,
yes.
         MR. CRONAN: To Mr. Geoppinger's response to that, I
will add that the TPP fact sheet here on page 13 of the ECF
filing that you're referring to contains a specific section
under Category 4 that says Fraud Claims. I'll direct to your
attention to that.
         And it begins with asking the TPPs, are you claiming
fraud or consumer fraud in this action on the basis of
plaintiff-specific allegations.
         And it goes on to ask, what representations do you
claim was falsely or fraudulently made, to whom it was made,
by whom, how was it made, when was it made, were they in
writing.
         These are factual items. This is what
Mr. Geoppinger's referring to presumably, but the request at
issue under wholesaler conduct and damages is much different
than this, Your Honor. This is why we consider this to be a
```

the summer, on an unjust enrichment claim.

1.3

To get to liability, they have to prove in some places wrongdoing by the defendants. Texas and Indiana come to mind. So the wholesalers in these requests are looking for that kind of information. They're looking for the facts upon which the plaintiffs are going to claim that we, you know, committed wrongful conduct. We're looking for the facts upon which they're going to claim that our conduct was -- in the context of a consumer protection claim was either unfair or -- I'm forgetting the other term. But that's the kind of information we're seeking here separate and apart from a fraud claim.

And, you know, I will just point out, Your Honor, the plaintiffs recently filed motions for summary judgment in valsartan. And one of the things they moved for summary judgment on is their consumer protection claim. And that's against, of course, the defendants in that case.

And they filed a motion for summary judgment. And per the local rules, they attached a statement of material facts that supports their request for judgment as a matter of law on a consumer protection claim. And they attached a statement of material facts to support their argument that the Court can determine on summary judgment that those defendants' conduct was unfair.

Essentially what we're asking for here in this fact sheet, Your Honor, are those facts, those facts that we would

1.3

see ultimately possibly in a statement of material facts that the plaintiffs would submit in support of a summary judgment. But we don't want to wait till we get to summary judgment to find out what they are, Your Honor. We're entitled to find out now.

And if they don't have them now, they can say they don't. If they get them later, they can amend under the rules and add to it.

But certainly we don't want to be in a position where the facts that the plaintiffs might use to support a claim against us, consumer protection, for example, would be listed in a statement of material facts but somehow we weren't able to get them in discovery.

So what we're trying to do here with these questions, Your Honor, is get those facts now via the fact sheet, which, yes, it's more -- it's more voluminous than what was done in valsartan, but as you'll recall, we had a couple hearings back in August and September about that very issue, and this is how we decided to proceed. And the defendants have endeavored to create a fact sheet that, yes, is a little more substantive, but in the interest of using the fact sheet as the plaintiffs asked us to do, and in the interest of getting this information now, not later, and not being in a position, candidly, Your Honor, where we have to fight about waiver and those kinds of things that we've had to deal with before.

1.3

We don't need -- if we don't need to kick the can down the road, let's not do it. Let's put it here, and let's deal with it now.

SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Mr. Cronan, why couldn't you answer now, the question of to describe with particularity any wrongdoing, improper practice, or action, and/or misrepresentation by any wholesaler defendant upon which you base your claims?

MR. CRONAN: Your Honor, I would say it would be incredibly difficult for TPPs to answer that now, because what we could answer is what we've agreed to on page 13 of these proposed -- this exhibit here, because those relate to specific facts.

The issue here is that we're not kicking the can down the road. We're doing how things -- we're doing this in the normal fashion of how it's ordinarily done, is to get -- establish basic facts and then move on to additional discovery.

You know, I want to add that the facts in the summary judgment motion that Attorney Geoppinger was mentioning, those were developed after discovery, in discovery, basically following depositions in a much different posture than what we're dealing with now.

So I just think it's -- it would not be appropriate to have multiple requests that TPPs cannot respond to, to

MR. CRONAN: That information there --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: You've agreed to produce 28
of those subsets, do I understand that right?
         MR. CRONAN: That's correct, Your Honor. Following
several meet and confers and exchanging this document, we have
agreed to that in good faith.
         SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Okay. All right.
         MR. CRONAN: But these -- this particular item we're
focused on, Your Honor, that deals with gross revenue,
expenses or costs and net profits, we, TPPs, are not in a
position to answer this now until we have additional discovery
and the use of expert disclosures.
         Once experts are available to review this and we have
disclosures made, at the appropriate time down the road, we'll
be in a position to deal with this.
         We don't have any issue with this similar request
being presented after the close or the conclusion of the fact
sheet process. But again, this is far beyond what's
appropriate early on at this stage.
         So this is the kind of thing that's really not
appropriate because we're unable to answer at this time as
TPPs. It's not a factual matter.
         SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Why not respond that way?
You know, instead of getting into a battle over what's
appropriate to ask now, why not have you be required to
```

respond in whatever way you can, which -- and one possible

accept that and accept the answer if that's where it has to

24

25

come from via that route.

1.3

However, to your point, I mean, it is something that can be answered now at least with respect to that answer, to finding that out. The plaintiffs want -- need to go through that exercise, as opposed to having that figure available to them that they can just write -- that they can provide in the

request a little bit later on that also addresses this.

data we've asked for. And I will note there's probably a

But if they're willing to do that and they can provide it via experts, we can accept that; but to the point -- your point that, you know, or the point that it doesn't belong in a fact sheet, I mean, we're trying to be comprehensive here more so than in valsartan. We're trying to get the discovery out there now. We're trying to avoid -- all of this was discussed back in August and September. We're trying to avoid these waiver issues.

And so, you know, the rules certainly provide that the plaintiffs can provide an answer now and they can supplement it later. So I think that the idea we should just take it out because we can go -- somewhere down the road, we'll get to it later, you know, obviously causes concern for the defendants. And I don't see any reason why we need to do that. We can leave it here, and we can deal with it now. And if it needs to be supplemented later, then that's what will have to happen.

MR. KASS: Your Honor, may be I heard?

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

defendants' conduct.

The defendants' conduct or the focus on defendants might be of interest for a damages calculation, but we have to get past liability before we get to damages. And in unjust enrichment, okay, the third element is that the defendants' acceptance of the benefit under the circumstances -- under the circumstances, that's the keywords -- under the circumstances that make it unfair for the defendant to keep the benefit or part of it.

The circumstances are not just what the defendants' conduct is. To get to liability, we look at the totality of the conduct, totality of the circumstances, both with respect to the defendants and with respect to the plaintiffs.

Think about it this way: In an unjust enrichment claim, would it be fair -- because unjust enrichment is an equitable claim. The element I just read to you uses the word "unfair." Would it be fair for the TPP plaintiffs to be able to recover a wholesaler defendant's profits when the TPP plaintiffs may have profited from the covering of the claims to a greater extent than the wholesaler defendants did from distributing the product? That would be a jury question. And that would be a jury question to determine liability.

Therefore, the wholesalers in that instance should be able to obtain discovery as to the benefits and as to the conduct of the TPP plaintiffs. It's not just focused on us.

1.3

I'll also add to that, Your Honor, a reference back to that motion for summary judgment that was just filed in valsartan on the consumer protection claim. It's on page 31 of their motion.

The plaintiffs argue that -- and I'm just going to -I'll just quote it for Your Honor. It says in F.T.C. v.

Wyndham, the Third Circuit was tasked with interpreting the
unfairness -- there's that word again -- prong of the FTC Act.

In doing so, the Court used the FTC's 1980 policy statement on
unfairness as its guide. This is the policy that the
plaintiffs are advocating that the Court use in this case on
summary judgment in valsartan.

The Court described the three factor unfairness test:

One, the substantiality of the injury; two, whether it, the substantiality of the injury, was outweighed by any countervailing benefits. That's the key element, Your Honor, countervailing benefits. That means countervailing benefits to the TPPs. And in their summary judgment motion, they argue that they didn't get any benefits.

But regardless of what they argue in that motion in valsartan, it's clear that on consumer protection claims, the benefits to the TPPs are also at issue.

And of course, CMO or SMO 82 didn't address a consumer protection claim at all. It focused solely on the unjust enrichment claims.

1 to echo what Mr. Kass said. 2 SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Sure, Mr. Cronan. 3 MR. CRONAN: As this Court -- as Your Honor found in 4 Special Master Order 82 and as Judge Kugler ordered in the order affirming that, whether the TPPs profited by fulfilling 5 6 their contractual obligations by paying for worthless VCDs is 7 irrelevant to whether wholesalers were unjustly enriched. That is exactly what Special Master Order 82 says. 9 The TPPs, by paying for the contaminated VCDs to 10 fulfill their duties, are not sellers of the contaminated 11 product. Any profits by honoring their contractual 12 obligations are irrelevant to a claim for unjust enrichment, 1.3 Your Honor. 14 So echoing what Attorney Kass said, this is just 15 water under the bridge at this point, and we think it's well 16 established what the outcome should be here, respectfully. 17 SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: All right. Thank you. 18 I'm going to move now to page 16 of Document 2611-2. 19 It's request 13, documents sufficient to show that you were a 20 third-party beneficiary to any contract and/or agreement with 21 each manufacturer defendant, wholesaler defendant, and/or 22 pharmacy benefit manager. 23 Why is that improper? 24 MR. CRONAN: Your Honor, the TPP fact sheet contains 25

requests for information and documents on contracts with

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

wholesalers and defendants. This question as to whether TPPs were a third-party beneficiary to a contract is a contention request in our view, and secondarily, it's improper for a plaintiffs fact sheet at this early stage. It requests TPPs to guess and to predict what discovery is going to show at this point. And we don't have the ability really to answer this information as we typically would with an actual, a straightforward request for facts. So, again, like the prior request, we think it's too early for this kind of request, and we think it's outside the scope of what would be allowed in a typical plaintiff fact sheet and the kind of plaintiff fact sheet that was used in

SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: All right. Mr. Geoppinger? MR. GEOPPINGER: Your Honor, we think this asks for relevant documents. It's not asking for anybody's contention about anything.

the valsartan case with success.

The fact of the matter is this: You know, in this fact sheet, they have -- the plaintiffs have agreed to produce contracts with manufacturers, wholesalers, retailers, third-party -- PBMs, which is great. That's great. And we are very glad about that.

But the fact of the matter is, and we discussed this back in the summer, the way this whole industry works and the whole insurance payment system works, it doesn't involve the

contained in documents.

20

21

22

23

24

25

And essentially, if the plaintiffs -- I mean, if -they either have -- they can give us their contracts. If they don't have contracts, we won't get them. They can give us documents, which -- in response to this, which we -- they will say is, you know, is evidence of a third-party beneficiary relationship. That's all we're asking for.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If they get a document that they're going to put on -- they're going to cite to in a statement of material facts with respect to one of their claims someday, this would be the time to give it to us, and this is the request for it. SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: The plaintiffs have cited some case law that essentially says requests for production cannot be used to ferret out contentions. Why should I not interpret certain of these requests as essentially contention interrogatories in disguise and say they're not part of core discovery, that can come later? MR. GEOPPINGER: Well, because they're asking for facts and they're asking for documents or they're asking for documents that contain the facts, but they're fact-specific. I mean, if the plaintiffs have a document that they -- that shows a third-party beneficiary relationship responsive to this, they can produce it. If they don't have a document, they won't. But via these discovery requests -- these requests in whatever form they are in this fact sheet, which is, as I mentioned, consistent with the way the fact sheets have had to have been done before and consistent with the fact that Judge Schneider didn't allow any interrogatories -- and as far as I know, we're still not allowed to serve any interrogatories. But if the Court wants to advise me otherwise, I'll be happy

to hear it and to adjust accordingly. But I think that what

1.3

```
we are asking for is a production of a document. Factually speaking, do you have it, do you not have it, end of story.
```

And I think that's what all of these requests are aimed at, finding the facts or finding the documents containing the facts that underlie the plaintiffs' claims, that underlie the elements they have to produce -- or excuse me, the elements they have to prove.

SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: All right. And your response?

MR. CRONAN: Yes, Your Honor.

As you indicated before, and as the plaintiffs have outlined in their letter brief, Rule 34, unlike Rule 33, has a blanket prohibition, as far as we see, on contention requests for production of documents. So that's a major roadblock here to this request, this proposed request.

And the fact that it says -- it's -- Attorney

Geoppinger's asserting that it's searching for facts, any

contention can be couched as a fact, which is exactly what

this is. As Your Honor said earlier, it ought to say -- it

may as well state, do you contend that you were a third-party

beneficiary.

TPPs have agreed to include requests for information regarding whether they had a contract with any manufacturer defendant or wholesaler defendants related to the losartan or irbesartan. That is a more straightforward question. That's

```
1
    more appropriate for a fact sheet, Your Honor.
 2
             So we'll just leave it at that and indicate that this
 3
    is outside the scope of what's permissible, both in a fact
    sheet and both from a contention request for production.
 5
             SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: All right. Thank you.
 6
             Now, requests 19 through 33 have all been objected
 7
    to.
 8
             Mr. Cronan, do you want to elaborate on your
 9
    objections to any or all of those requests?
10
             MR. CRONAN: Yes, Your Honor. I think that these
11
    simply -- this is further -- the issue that we've flagged
12
    before is even more pronounced with respect to these requests.
1.3
    These are clearly contention requests for production of
14
    documents in our perspective the further down we move.
15
             For example, request number 20 that's been
16
    highlighted, all documents that refer/relate to any
17
    unconscionable commercial practice, deception, fraud, false
18
    pretense, false promise, misrepresentation, omission or
19
    concealment. This is not a search for facts, certainly not
20
    the kind of facts that one would expect to see in a plaintiffs
21
    fact sheet in a case like this.
22
             If these requests are the kind that wholesalers or
23
    defendants wish to renew at a later time, you know, we can
```

certainly revisit it at that time, but it's certainly not

24

25

appropriate at this stage.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Your Honor, I think they're clearly contention requests on their face, so they ought to be prohibited all together, in fact, based upon the mandate in Rule 34. SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: I'm sensitive to Mr. Geoppinger's concern about waiver and not being able to seek discovery that is believed to be necessary to adequately prepare a defense. So what would be improper about -- now, I know -- I know, you know, there was a ruling in this matter, no interrogatories. But what would be improper about saying after core discovery is completed, the defense can propound interrogatories, including contention interrogatories? MR. CRONAN: Your Honor, our position is that after the fact sheet process is completed, we are open to additional discovery as needed, depending upon what the issues look like and how the issues are narrowed. I don't have a crystal ball, as speaking on behalf of the TPPs, in terms of exactly what requests Mr. Geoppinger or the wholesalers or defendants would present, but we are open to additional discovery as allowed by Rule 26 once the basic facts are elicited. But again, we do not think that this is the appropriate time and certainly not when it's couched as a

request for production in this manner.

1.3

SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: All right. Mr. Geoppinger, why don't we proceed along those lines and establish now that you can pursue discovery by way of contention interrogatories after core discovery is completed?

MR. GEOPPINGER: Your Honor, that's -- you know, as we mentioned in our letter, we're here for -- you know, we're more substance over form, Your Honor. We just want to get the information.

SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: All right. Okay.

MR. GEOPPINGER: So, yeah, I mean, look, I won't belabor the point. We're trying to track the elements here of their claims in these requests. We're using the requests because of the interrogatory ruling.

But the wholesaler defendants are certainly open to -- with respect to these specific wholesaler requests, and I imagine the other defendants will tell me if I'm wrong, but with respect to other ones that the Court feels appropriate, that might be more generally applicable to the defendants in this case. So yeah, let's come up with a -- you know, I guess a plan for the defendants to get this information.

And, if -- look, if after having received responses to the information that we've either agreed to or that the Court decides is an appropriate request in this fact sheet, we narrow that issue, then great. That's fine. But like I said, we're looking for a vehicle by which we can get this

information.

1.3

And if the Court wants to, in ruling upon these disputed requests, say, look, we're going to do this fact sheet, this is what it's going to look like. And then after that, at this point in time, 30 days after, 60 days after, put it in an order, and provide that this is going to happen, the wholesaler defendants -- and I expect all the defendants in these losartan/irbesartan cases brought by the TPPs would be -- would be fine with that.

SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: All right. Thank you.

I'm now looking at requests 37, 38, 40 through 46.

And again, they seem to be outside what I conceive to be core discovery. But on the other hand, they seem to be inquiries that could be relevant, depending upon what's produced in core discovery.

What's your position, Mr. Cronan?

MR. CRONAN: Your Honor, I am open to -- I would agree with that generally. I'd say that these are certainly outside the scope of what's appropriate in core discovery, and as TPPs, we are -- I believe I speak on behalf of all TPPs when I say we're open to revisiting the issue depending upon what information defendants think they might need after we exchange the basic information in an appropriate fact sheet.

So I think that's the best way to do it. I think it's much too early to deal with anything like this at this

point.

1.3

But I will say, Your Honor, that if this does happen in this manner, we reserve all rights, including any arguments we may make about Rule 34 requests for production as contention requests, which we think are off limits.

SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Right.

MR. CRONAN: But generally -- so that's our position on that piece.

SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Okay. I don't want anybody to waive any positions here, so I'm very sensitive to that.

I want to study this a little more closely, but I'm inclined to say, the items that are agreed to thus far should go into the fact sheet, the plaintiffs fact sheet. And we can talk about the time frame within which the fact sheets need to be produced.

And then the other items -- I know I'm kicking the can down the road, and I want to be very careful of -- not to do that unnecessarily, but it might be appropriate to say let's get the core discovery done, then let's allow additional discovery.

I'm not a fan of contention interrogatories. I
understand -- I understand that, but it might be appropriate
in this matter to allow for some contention interrogatories.

The problem I have with contention interrogatories is that they're never really answered. They really don't provide

1.3

for production or contention whatever they are. To be clear, we don't think they are. We think they're looking for facts.

Okay? And we're looking for facts the best we can in the means that the Court has previously approved and the fact sheets that they've used and trying to basically do what we understand the plaintiffs have asked for and the Court accepts as a way to go about this.

As I noted, we're open to getting through the fact sheet on what we've agreed to, whatever else the Court finds to be -- should be included from these disputed requests, and then dealing with the ones that the Court doesn't include at a later time, even via interrogatory, that's great. I don't necessarily say we're going to serve contention interrogatories. I doubt we will. Maybe they will be as simple as tell me all the facts that you would include in a statement of facts to support your claim that, you know -- that you're entitled to -- excuse me, that the defendants' conduct was such that we're liable for unjust enrichment or that the defendants' conduct was unfair such that we're liable for consumer protection, you know, statute violation.

I'm not necessarily looking to serve contention interrogatories, Your Honor. I don't necessarily think I have. But if the Court is willing to defer this and come up with a better way to do it, we're all for that.

And as I noted, once we get the other information,

1.3

perhaps our requests will be narrowed and we'll be in a little bit different position.

But I don't think the Court should expect, the TPPs should expect or assume that there are going to be contention interrogatories coming out of us. We think we're just looking for facts, and that's all we're going to keep doing if we don't have them.

With respect to 41, I think it is, to 46, Your

Honor -- I want to make sure we get those numbers right,

yeah -- those -- those are a little bit different from our

perspective.

What we're trying to do there, Your Honor, for the wholesalers is ask for documents containing facts that relate to our innocent seller defense.

An innocent seller defense is something that came up early in the litigation. It's been deferred to summary judgment by the Court. It's a defense available to suppliers like ourselves in many, many states. And it's basically designed, Your Honor, to protect distributors who are really conduits of products like the wholesaler defendants in this case.

So generally those defenses exclude a supplier from liability unless certain circumstances exist, like -- and I'm kind of -- there's a lot of different statutes, so I'm putting some of this stuff together. But basically circumstances

1.3

```
where perhaps the manufacturer owns the distributor or the distributor owns the manufacturer, or one in particular that I think we address in request 45, if the supplier markets the product under its own label.
```

Of course that didn't happen here, but to the extent we are going to be asserting that defense on summary judgment and we come in and say, you know, we didn't market it under our own label, you can't get around the innocent seller statute in this state because of that, we are asking the TPPs, hey, do you have any information or do you have documents in your possession that contradicts that.

And I think that's something we're allowed to take discovery on. I don't think there's anything contention -- contentious about that. And I would suggest that we could include them here in this -- in this fact sheet.

It is certainly a defense that will be asserted.

It's something we've raised from the outset of the litigation to I think to the surprise of no one, and I think these requests are basically, hey, look, do you have something in your possession document wise that would include facts that would tend to prove one of these exceptions.

I think the answer is going to be, you know, no, but if it's not, then we'll know what those documents are.

SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Mr. Cronan, what Mr. Geoppinger is saying seems to make sense to me, that core

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discovery should include the innocent seller defense. And they're looking for documents that would be relevant to that defense.

Why not allow that to go forward?

MR. CRONAN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you for the opportunity to weigh in on that.

We would echo some of the prior comments we've made in the past, particularly many of the documents which are at issue here that are requested that are likely to inform us of the response or to be responsive, for that matter, frankly, are in the defendants' hands in some cases.

In terms of the information on knowledge of a wholesaler defendant, for example, other issues regarding the value provided or I should say the involvement or control that's alleged on behalf of a wholesaler defendant, this isn't the type of stuff that -- again, that's not part -- it's just ordinarily not part of a fact sheet. It's not basic facts.

I understand they're raising a defense, Your Honor, and there's been reference to, you know, anticipated summary judgment motions and whatnot. But we're far from that, Your Honor. And this is putting the cart before the horse in our view. It's simply not appropriate for this early stage and the basic information we're looking to gather.

SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Well, why wouldn't it be appropriate simply to say, discovery is ongoing, we don't have

```
1
    That's what a contention is.
 2
             And you can say it's only -- I'm only looking for a
 3
    fact, I'm only looking for fact, but when you're asking to
    apply those facts to an element of a claim or a defense, that
 5
    is contention based, and that's simply not permitted by the
           So I just wanted to make that clear.
 7
             MS. DAVIS: Your Honor, D'Lesli Davis from McKesson.
 8
    May I be heard on this for just a moment?
 9
             SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Yes, you may.
10
             MS. DAVIS: I represent McKesson, which is a
11
    wholesaler.
12
             These are pleading obligations of the plaintiffs.
1.3
    They are to allege facts that meet the elements of particular
14
    claims and conclude that they have been damaged in some way
15
    and then articulate those damages.
16
             They have not made those allegations in any of their
17
    complaints. We are on zero notice of -- using the great
18
    example that Mr. Geoppinger was using -- what a
19
    misrepresentation was factually, who made it, what the date of
20
    it was, when it happened, or how they relied on it, what the
21
    date of reliance was, who relied, in what way they relied.
22
    They have not even articulated whether they know if an
23
    individual wholesaler was involved in any given transaction.
24
    And they have successfully dodged that, having to ever do
```

that, having to ever plead or do discovery on facts that would

constitute elements.

1.3

This is a red herring to say that these are contention RFPs or if you interpret them as interrogatories. The request is for what the facts they base these claims on are. And they owe us that at some point. I'm sympathetic, as Mr. Geoppinger suggested, if there's a different time that the Court wants to do it or a different manner, but what we need to know is that we're this time around going to get these facts and not be popped on the rear side by saying, well, you didn't ask the right questions or you didn't make clear to the Court what you needed to have.

These are fundamental responsibilities of a plaintiff in any litigation, and to pretend that this is unheard of early in a case, for you to say what misrepresentations were made to you, who made them and how you relied, is simply treading water to try to avoid having to make those disclosures.

MR. CRONAN: Your Honor, may I be heard?

SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Mr. Kass -- one at a time,
but we'll hear from Mr. Cronan first.

MR. CRONAN: Sure, Your Honor.

To the comment about treading water, Your Honor, again, I go back to what we talked about earlier on in this hearing. Again, the fact sheet has agreed-upon requests with respect to the fraud, for example, which specifically asks

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
about statements, when they were made, who they were made by
and further details along those lines. So it's not as if
we're claiming that there's no entitlement to that
information.
         And, secondly, as Attorney Kass talked about earlier,
the work product privilege -- the work product issue here is
really a significant issue that ought to be considered.
There's always the risk of invading that -- the work product
concerns once we're dealing with contention requests. And it
makes no sense in my view, Your Honor, to go towards that risk
when we don't need to at this point, especially when we're in
such an early stage and we haven't narrowed the issues down.
         So those are just the two points that I wanted to
raise.
         SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: All right. Thank you.
         Did you want to be heard, Mr. Kass?
         MR. KASS: Yes, just very briefly.
         Again, so I hear Ms. Davis' argument, but, again, so
she's saying she needs to know what the information is. Okay.
So the remedy is, if any remedy it is, it's a contention
interrogatory. It's not a contention request for production.
We're using the wrong vehicle to try and get to a conclusion.
So I just really want to make that difference.
         And the TPPs' position -- and this is based on the
```

case law that we cited in our brief -- contention requests for

```
1
             Mr. Cronan, anything else?
 2
             MR. CRONAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Nothing on
 3
    behalf of the TPPs, unless my colleagues have anything else to
 4
    add. I'll open the floor.
 5
             SPECIAL MASTER VANASKIE: Ann Marie, I thank you for
 6
    your patience with us today, because we sometimes talked over
 7
    each other.
 8
             Hearing nothing else, we'll conclude for today. All
 9
    right. Thank you all very much.
10
             RESPONSE: Thank you, Your Honor.
11
             (Proceedings concluded at 2:02 p.m.)
12
1.3
             I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
14
    from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
15
16
    /S/ Ann Marie Mitchell
                                 25th day of January, 2024
17
    Court Reporter/Transcriber Date
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	<u> </u>			
,	4	address [4] - 5:12,	anticipated [1] - 38:19	39:23, 40:5, 42:24,
		22:23, 23:10, 37:3	apart [1] - 13:10	44:14
'23 [1] - 3:16	4 [1] - 11:13	addresses [1] - 19:7	applicable [5] - 5:9,	basic [9] - 9:7, 10:19,
	40 [1] - 32:11	adequately [1] - 30:6	5:11, 5:14, 31:18,	15:17, 18:13, 30:21,
/	41 [2] - 34:20, 36:8	adjust [1] - 27:25	47:22	32:23, 38:17, 38:23,
/\$ [1] - 48:16	445202 [1] - 2:8	admitting [1] - 3:5	apply [3] - 39:25, 40:4,	44:6
	45 [1] - 37:3	advise [1] - 27:24	47:20	basis [2] - 11:16,
0	46 [3] - 32:11, 34:21,	advocating [1] - 22:11	approach [2] - 6:17,	39:20
04101 [1] - 1:14	36:8	affirmed [1] - 3:17	34:11	battle [1] - 17:23
08101 [1] - 1:7	4th [1] - 1:6	affirming [1] - 24:5	appropriate [23] -	begin [2] - 9:15, 16:21
00101[1] = 1.1	5	afternoon [2] - 3:20,	7:19, 9:16, 15:24,	begins [1] - 11:15
1		3:21	16:11, 17:13, 17:18,	behalf [7] - 3:9, 3:12,
	576-7018 [1] - 1:22	agree [5] - 11:1,	17:20, 17:24, 18:11,	20:2, 30:18, 32:20,
10 _[2] - 8:17, 8:18		32:18, 45:12, 46:17,	18:18, 29:1, 29:25, 30:24, 31:17, 31:23,	38:15, 48:3 belabor _[2] - 26:14,
1000 [1] - 1:17	6	46:18 agreed [12] - 10:18,	32:19, 32:23, 33:18,	31:11
11 [1] - 8:18	60 [1] - 32:5	15:11, 16:16, 16:22,	33:22, 38:22, 38:25,	BELIVEAU [1] - 1:13
13 [3] - 11:11, 15:11,	600 [1] - 2:8	17:1, 17:5, 25:19,	45:10, 47:20	belong [1] - 19:11
24:19 14 [1] - 16:14		28:22, 31:22, 33:12,	approved [1] - 35:4	beneficiary [7] -
16 [1] - 16.14 16 [1] - 24:18	7	35:9, 41:24	argue [3] - 22:5,	24:20, 25:2, 26:5,
19 [1] - 24. 16	75201 [1] - 2:12	agreed-upon [1] -	22:18, 22:20	26:11, 26:24, 27:15,
19 [1] - 29.0 19-md-02875 [1] - 1:3	10201[1] - 2.12	41:24	arguing [2] - 3:9, 3:12	28:21
1980 [1] - 22:9	8	agreement[1] - 24:20	argument[7] - 3:6,	benefit [4] - 20:5,
1:03 _[2] - 1:8, 3:2		ahead [3] - 45:16,	9:5, 13:21, 23:12,	21:6, 21:8, 24:22
	82 [4] - 3:17, 22:23,	45:19	23:17, <i>4</i> 2:18	benefits [7] - 21:24,
2	24:4, 24:8 856 [1] - 1:22	aided [1] - 1:24	arguments [3] - 23:10,	22:16, 22:17, 22:19,
20 [1] - 29:15	030[1] - 1.22	aimed [1] - 28:4	26:15, 33:3	22:22, 23:4
2019 [1] - 20:23	Α	allegations [3] - 9:10,	articulate [1] - 40:15	BERNE [1] - 2:7
2024 _[2] - 1:7, 48:16		11:17, 40:16	articulated [1] - 40:22 ascertaining [1] - 44:7	best [6] - 5:19, 6:15, 8:11, 8:12, 32:24,
2200 [1] - 2:11	ability [1] - 25:7	allege [1] - 40:13 alleged [1] - 38:15	aside [1] - 6:4	35:3
23 [1] - 43:17	able [5] - 4:2, 14:12, 21:17, 21:24, 30:5	allow [6] - 7:1, 7:2,	asserted [1] - 37:16	better [3] - 8:13, 11:3,
24 [1] - 1:7	above-entitled [1] -	27:22, 33:19, 33:23,	asserting [3] - 12:2,	35:24
2500 [1] - 2:3	48:14	38:4	28:17, 37:6	between [1] - 39:11
2511-2 [1] - 43:17	absolutely [1] - 34:4	allowed [5] - 25:11,	assume[1] - 36:4	beyond [4] - 7:4, 9:2,
2525 [1] - 1:17	accept [3] - 18:24,	27:23, 30:21, 37:12,	Atlanta [1] - 2:4	16:11, 17:17
25th [1] - 48:16	19:9	43:1	attached [2] - 13:18,	bit [7] - 4:3, 4:4, 19:7,
26 [1] - 30:21	acceptable [2] - 8:9,	allowing [1] - 47:19	13:20	34:23, 36:2, 36:10,
2611-2 [3] - 8:15,	46:19	almost [3] - 43:4, 43:5	attempt [1] - 34:1	47:4
16:14, 24:18	acceptance[1] - 21:6	ALSO [1] - 2:14	attention [1] - 11:14	blanket [1] - 28:13
28 [2] - 7:8, 17:1 2800 [1] - 2:8	accepts [1] - 35:6	amend [1] - 14:7	attorney [3] - 39:15,	Boulevard [1] - 1:17
29 [1] - 16:21	accordingly [1] -	AmerisourceBergen	39:21, 43:2	bridge [1] - 24:15
2:02 [1] - 48:11	27:25	[1] - 2:9	Attorney [4] - 15:20, 24:14, 28:16, 42:5	brief [4] - 6:23, 12:14, 28:12, 42:25
2.02[1] 10.11	Act [1] - 22:8	analysis [1] - 7:18 analyze [1] - 39:15	August [4] - 3:16, 4:5,	briefly [4] - 6:22, 23:9,
3	Actavis [2] - 2:5	Ann [3] - 1:21, 48:5,	14:18, 19:14	42:17, 47:16
30 [1] - 32:5	ACTION [1] - 1:2 action [3] - 8:21.	48:16	available[3] - 17:12,	broad [1] - 7:14
30 [1] - 32:5 30305 [1] - 2:4	11:16, 15:6	AnnMarie_Mitchell@	19:4, 36:17	brought [1] - 32:8
31 _[1] - 22:3	actions [1] - 9:10	njd.uscourts.gov [1]	Avenue [1] - 2:11	Building [1] - 1:6
33 _[2] - 28:12, 29:6	actual [1] - 25:8	- 1:22	avoid [3] - 19:13,	
33134 [1] - 1:18	add [11] - 11:11, 14:8,	answer [17] - 4:3,	19:15, 41:16	С
3333 [1] - 2:3	15:19, 16:21, 22:1,	12:10, 12:18, 15:5,	aware [1] - 26:15	calculation [1] - 21:3
34 [3] - 28:12, 30:3,	23:25, 34:3, 39:4,	15:10, 15:11, 17:10,		Camden [1] - 1:7
33:4	39:5, 47:17, 48:4	17:20, 18:1, 18:10,	В	camera[1] - 45:15
3600 [1] - 2:11	added [1] - 6:11	18:17, 18:24, 19:2, 19:17, 25:7, 37:22,	balance [1] - 34:2	candidly [2] - 14:24,
37 [1] - 32:11	addition [1] - 20:12	44:1	ball [1] - 30:18	20:20
38 [1] - 32:11	additional [9] - 7:8, 7:17, 15:17, 17:10,	answered [4] - 7:16,	base [3] - 8:23, 15:8,	cannot [3] - 7:16,
	20:4, 20:13, 30:15,	11:4, 19:2, 33:25	41:4	15:25, 27:7
	30:21, 33:19	anticipate [1] - 12:13	based [9] - 7:15, 7:25,	careful [1] - 33:17
	30.2 1, 30. 19		9:12, 16:5, 30:3,	cart _[2] - 38:21, 43:12
			1	1

case [17] - 7:5, 9:17,
10:3, 12:17, 13:16,
18:14, 20:9, 20:22,
22:11, 25:13, 27:6,
29:21, 31:19, 34:9,
36:21, 41:14, 42:25
cases [6] - 4:17, 4:21,
5:15, 23:13, 32:8,
38:11
Category [1] - 11:13
causes [1] - 19:20
CCR[1] - 1:21
Center [1] - 1:14
certain [4] - 7:1, 27:8,
36:23, 47:21
certainly [12] - 14:9,
18:23, 19:16, 20:1,
29:19, 29:24, 30:24,
31:14, 32:18, 37:16,
46:24
certified [1] - 4:15
certify [1] - 48:13
chance [1] - 44:22
check [1] - 45:2
Cincinnati [1] - 2:8
Circuit [1] - 22:7
circumstances [8] -
20:25, 21:6, 21:7,
21:10, 21:12, 36:23,
36:25
citations [1] - 23:10
cite [1] - 27:2
cited [4] - 20:22,
20:23, 27:5, 42:25
citing [1] - 23:13
City [1] - 1:14
CIVIL [1] - 1:2
claim [17] - 11:19,
12:25, 13:5, 13:7,
13:8, 13:11, 13:15,
13:20, 14:10, 16:18,
21:15, 21:16, 22:3,
22:24, 24:12, 35:16,
40:4
claiming [3] - 11:15,
26:5, 42:3
claims [27] - 4:13,
4:17, 4:25, 8:23,
9:12, 10:1, 10:2,
10:5, 10:7, 11:1,
12:7, 12:12, 12:15,
12:20, 12:21, 15:8,
21:19, 22:21, 22:25,
23:2, 23:4, 27:3,
28:5, 31:12, 40:14,
41:4
Claims [1] - 11:13
clear [6] - 4:19, 22:21,
34:5, 35:1, 40:6,
41:10

clearly [2] - 29:13, 30:1 Clerk [1] - 2:16 clients [1] - 26:1 close [1] - 17:16 closely [1] - 33:11 closer[1] - 45:11 **CMO**[1] - 22:23 Cohen [1] - 1:6 colleagues [2] -47:12, 48:3 **Columbia** [1] - 20:24 coming [1] - 36:5 **Commencing** [1] - 1:8 comment[1] - 41:22 comments [1] - 38:7 commercial[1] -29:17 **committed** [1] - 13:6 companies [1] - 45:8 complaint [1] - 43:10 complaints [1] - 40:17 completed [3] - 30:12, 30:15, 31:4 comprehensive[1] -19:12 computer [1] - 1:24 computer-aided [1] -1:24 concealment[1] -29:19 conceive [1] - 32:12 conceptually [1] -46:10 concern [3] - 18:4, 19:20, 30:5 **concerning** [1] - 5:5 concerns [1] - 42:9 concessions [1] - 7:9 conclude [2] - 40:14, 48.8 concluded [1] - 48:11 conclusion [2] -17:16, 42:22 conduct [17] - 11:24, 12:23, 12:24, 13:6, 13:7, 13:23, 20:14, 20:19, 20:20, 21:1, 21:2, 21:11, 21:12, 21:25, 23:3, 35:18, 35:19 Conduct [1] - 8:19 conduits [1] - 36:20 confer [2] - 45:1, 46:8 conferring [1] - 46:4 confers [2] - 7:6, 17:4 consider [1] - 11:25 considered [1] - 42:7 consistent [2] - 27:20,

27.21

constitute [1] - 41:1 constructive [1] - 16:4 consumer [11] - 10:1, 11:16, 12:21, 13:8, 13:15, 13:20, 14:11, 22:3, 22:21, 22:24, 35:20 consuming [1] - 8:11 contain [1] - 27:13 contained [1] - 26:19 containing [2] - 28:5, 36:13 contains [3] - 7:5, 11:12, 24:24 contaminated [2] -24:9, 24:10 contend [2] - 26:10, 28:20 contention [42] - 7:14, 9:4, 9:6, 10:20, 10:22, 12:1, 16:5, 16:7, 25:2, 25:16, 26:10, 27:9, 28:13, 28:18, 29:4, 29:13, 30:1, 30:13, 31:3, 33:5, 33:21, 33:23, 33:24, 34:7, 34:25, 35:1, 35:13, 35:21, 36:4, 37:13, 39:9, 40:1, 40:5, 41:3, 42:9, 42:20, 42:21, 42:25, 43:20, 44:9, 44.19 contentions [3] - 9:16, 10:17, 27:7 contentious [1] -37:14 context [2] - 13:8, 26:13 Continued [1] - 2:1 contract [5] - 24:20, 25:2, 26:6, 26:11, 28:23 contracts [6] - 24:25, 25:20, 26:3, 26:5, 26:21, 26:22 contractual [2] - 24:6, 24:11 contradicts [1] - 37:11 control [1] - 38:14 Cooper [1] - 1:6 core [11] - 8:25, 9:3, 27:10, 30:11, 31:4, 32:13, 32:15, 32:19, 33:19, 37:25, 44:6 Corporation [1] - 2:12 correct [6] - 5:23. 5:24, 6:1, 17:3, 46:15, 48:13 correctly [1] - 43:9

costs [2] - 16:17, 17:9 couched [3] - 16:7, 28:18, 30:24 countervailing [3] -22:16, 22:17 couple [1] - 14:17 course[3] - 13:16, 22:23, 37:5 **COURT** [1] - 1:1 Court [3] - 1:21, 24:3, 48:17 **Courthouse** [1] - 1:6 Courtroom [1] - 2:17 covering [2] - 21:19, 23:4 covers [1] - 4:4 create[1] - 14:20 Cronan [14] - 3:11, 6:15, 10:18, 15:4, 20:12, 23:7, 24:2, 29:8, 32:16, 37:24, 41:20, 43:18, 44:22, 48:1 CRONAN [36] - 1:13, 3:11, 6:1, 6:17, 6:21, 8:8, 9:1, 11:6, 11:10, 15:9. 16:20. 16:25. 17:3, 17:7, 18:3, 23:25, 24:3, 24:24, 28:10, 29:10, 30:14, 32:17, 33:7, 34:3, 34:5, 38:5, 39:3, 41:18, 41:21, 43:19, 44:10, 45:13, 45:16, 46:18, 46:24, 48:2 **Cronin** [1] - 47:15 CRR[1] - 1:21 crystal [1] - 30:18 cumulative [1] - 12:9 D

D'LESLI[1] - 2:11 D'Lesli [1] - 40:7 Dallas [1] - 2:12 damaged [1] - 40:14 **Damages** [1] - 8:19 damages [4] - 11:24, 21:3, 21:4, 40:15 data [3] - 7:8, 16:21, 19:6 Date [1] - 48:17 date [3] - 39:11, 40:19, 40:21 **DAVIS** [3] - 2:11, 40:7, 40:10 Davis [2] - 40:7, 43:4 Davis' [1] - 42:18 days [3] - 32:5, 46:22 De [1] - 1:17 deal [6] - 6:5, 14:25,

15:3, 17:14, 19:22, 32:25 dealing [4] - 15:23, 35:11, 42:9, 45:7 dealings [1] - 26:1 deals [1] - 17:8 dealt [2] - 4:5, 4:12 deception [1] - 29:17 decided [3] - 3:16, 14:19, 23:21 decides [1] - 31:23 decision [2] - 47:7, 47:19 defendant[10] - 8:22, 15:7, 20:9, 20:10, 21:8, 24:21, 28:24, 38:13, 38:15 **Defendant**[1] - 2:12 defendant's [1] -21:18 Defendants [2] - 2:4, defendants [47] - 4:7, 4:18, 4:21, 4:22, 4:24, 5:4, 5:8, 5:9, 5:11, 5:15, 9:11, 10:3, 10:4, 10:5, 12:12, 13:2, 13:16, 14:19, 19:21, 20:21, 21:2, 21:13, 21:20, 23:2, 25:1, 28:24, 29:23, 30:20, 31:14, 31:16, 31:18, 31:20, 32:7, 32:22, 36:20, 45:3, 45:4, 45:22, 46:5, 47:12, 47:14, 47:17, 47:20, 47:22 defendants' [8] -13:22, 21:1, 21:2, 21:5, 21:10, 35:17, 35:19, 38:11 defense [17] - 4:11, 5:4, 30:7, 30:12, 36:14, 36:15, 36:17, 37:6, 37:16, 38:1, 38:3, 38:18, 39:14, 39:15, 39:16, 39:17, 40:4 defenses [1] - 36:22 defer[1] - 35:23 deferred[1] - 36:16 deferring [3] - 34:6, 34:13, 47:19 definitely [1] - 45:21 deleted [1] - 44:24 deny [1] - 6:23 depositions [1] -15:22 Deputy [1] - 2:17

describe [2] - 9:9,

15:5 described [1] - 22:13 description [1] - 8:20 designed [1] - 36:19 details [1] - 42:2 determine [3] - 13:22, 21:22, 39:16 developed [1] - 15:21 difference [1] - 42:23 different [11] - 4:23, 6:13, 11:24, 15:22, 16:9, 34:23, 36:2, 36:10, 36:24, 41:6, 41:7 difficult [2] - 7:16, 15:10 difficulties [2] - 4:1, 6:9 direct[1] - 11:13 disclosure [1] - 7:1 disclosures [3] -17:11, 17:13, 41:17 discovery [37] - 3:16, 6:24, 7:2, 7:18, 8:25, 9:3, 9:8, 14:13, 15:18, 15:21, 17:10, 19:13. 21:24. 23:3. 25:6, 27:10, 27:18, 30:6, 30:12, 30:16, 30:21, 31:3, 31:4, 32:13, 32:15, 32:19, 33:19, 33:20, 34:1, 37:13, 38:1, 38:25, 40:25, 43:7, 44:6, 47:19 discuss [1] - 10:25 discussed [3] - 12:24, 19:14, 25:23 disguise [1] - 27:9 dismiss [2] - 43:6, 43:10 dismissed [3] - 12:12, 12:16, 12:17 dispute [10] - 3:16, 4:4, 4:12, 5:5, 6:3, 6:5, 6:6, 6:12, 9:20, 16:15 disputed [5] - 5:13, 6:16, 32:3, 35:10 distributing [1] -21:21 distributor [2] - 37:1, 37:2 distributors [1] -36:19 **DISTRICT**[2] - 1:1, 1:1 District[1] - 20:23

Document [1] - 24:18

document [10] - 8:14,

8:18, 17:4, 26:6, 27:1, 27:14, 27:16, 28:1, 37:20, 46:11 documents [26] - 7:6, 24:19, 24:25, 25:16, 26:19, 26:23, 27:12, 27:13, 28:4, 28:14, 29:14, 29:16, 36:13, 37:10, 37:23, 38:2, 38:8, 39:1, 39:9, 39:10, 39:11, 39:13, 39:14, 39:16, 39:17, 39:24 dodged [1] - 40:24 done [4] - 14:16. 15:16, 27:21, 33:19 double [1] - 45:2 doubt[1] - 35:14 down [8] - 7:22, 15:2, 15:14, 17:13, 19:19, 29:14, 33:17, 42:12 duplicative [1] - 12:9 duties [1] - 24:10

Ε

early [10] - 17:18, 25:4, 25:10, 32:25, 36:16, 38:22, 41:14, 42:12, 43:24, 44:3 easy[1] - 12:18 ECF[2] - 8:18, 11:11 echo [2] - 24:1, 38:7 echoing [1] - 24:14 Edward [1] - 8:19 either [3] - 13:8, 26:21, 31:22 elaborate [1] - 29:8 element [5] - 10:8, 21:5, 21:16, 22:16, 40:4 elements [8] - 28:6, 28:7, 31:11, 39:13, 39:25, 40:13, 41:1 elicited [1] - 30:22 end [1] - 28:2 endeavored[1] -14:19 engaged [1] - 10:8 enriched [1] - 24:7

enrichment[14] - 4:7, 4:15, 4:17, 10:2, 12:22, 12:25, 20:6, 20:8, 21:5, 21:14, 21:15, 22:25, 24:12, 35:18

entitled [5] - 6:24, 14:4, 23:2, 35:17, 48:14

entitlement[1] - 42:3 equitable [1] - 21:16

especially [1] - 42:11 **ESQUIRE** [6] - 1:13, 1:17, 2:3, 2:7, 2:11, 2:15 essentially [8] - 3:17, 7:23. 9:11. 12:15. 13:24, 26:20, 27:6, 27:9 establish [2] - 15:17, 31:2 established [1] -24:16 event[1] - 34:15 evidence[1] - 26:24 exact[1] - 23:13 exactly [5] - 16:7, 24:8, 28:18, 30:19, 45:24 example [6] - 4:9, 14:11, 29:15, 38:13, 40:18, 41:25 exceptions [1] - 37:21 exchange [2] - 7:6, 32:23

exchanged [2] - 7:20. 7:21 exchanging [1] - 17:4 exclude [1] - 36:22 excluded [1] - 20:15 excuse [2] - 28:6, 35:17 exercise[1] - 19:4

exist[1] - 36:23 existed [1] - 44:8 expect [5] - 26:2, 29:20, 32:7, 36:3,

Exhibit [1] - 6:10

exhibit [1] - 15:12

expenses [2] - 16:17, 17:9 expert [3] - 7:18,

17:11, 18:23 experts [3] - 17:12,

18:15, 19:9

extent [3] - 21:20, 37:5, 44:1

F

F.T.C[1] - 22:6 face[1] - 30:2 facing [1] - 18:9 fact [66] - 3:7, 4:18, 4:19, 4:25, 5:5, 6:23, 6:25, 7:3, 7:7, 7:10, 7:13, 7:20, 9:23, 10:11, 10:12, 10:14, 11:11, 13:24, 14:15, 14:20, 14:21, 16:4, 16:7, 16:12, 16:22,

17:16, 18:12, 19:11, 20:24, 24:24, 25:4, 25:11, 25:12, 25:18, 25:19, 25:23, 26:14, 27:13, 27:19, 27:20, 27:21, 28:16, 28:18, 29:1, 29:3, 29:21, 30:3, 30:15, 31:23, 32:3, 32:23, 33:13, 33:14, 35:4, 35:8, 37:15, 38:17, 39:1, 40:3, 41:24, 43:24, 44:15, 46:18 fact-intensive [1] -20:24 fact-specific [1] -27:13 factor[1] - 22:13 facts [50] - 7:1, 9:7, 9:15, 9:21, 10:17, 10:18, 10:20, 10:25, 11:4, 12:2, 13:4, 13:6, 13:19, 13:21, 13:25, 14:1, 14:10, 14:12, 14:15, 15:13, 15:17, 15:19, 16:10, 25:8, 26:18, 27:3, 27:12, 27:13, 28:4, 28:5, 28:17, 29:19, 29:20, 30:22, 35:2, 35:3, 35:15, 35:16, 36:6, 36:13, 37:20, 38:17, 39:25, 40:4, 40:13, 40:25, 41:4, 41:9 factual [3] - 11:22, 17:21, 46:1 factually [4] - 10:11, 28:1, 39:23, 40:19 fair [3] - 8:1, 21:15, 21:17 faith [1] - 17:5 false [2] - 29:17, 29:18 falsely [1] - 11:19 familiar [1] - 4:8 fan [1] - 33:21 far [7] - 7:4, 16:11, 17:17, 27:22, 28:13, 33:12, 38:20 fashion [1] - 15:16

Federal [1] - 39:20

few [2] - 34:9, 46:22

figuring [1] - 46:13

filed [6] - 6:11, 8:15,

9:24, 13:13, 13:17,

filing [4] - 5:20, 6:10,

ferret[1] - 27:7

fight [1] - 14:24

figure [1] - 19:4

22:2

8:18, 11:12 final [1] - 43:16 fine [3] - 3:23, 31:24, 32:9 first [3] - 7:13, 8:17, 41:20 flag [1] - 43:14 flagged [1] - 29:11 **FLAHERTY**[1] - 1:13 floor [1] - 48:4 Florida [1] - 1:18 focus [3] - 20:8, 20:20, 21:2 focused [5] - 17:8, 20:5, 21:25, 22:24, 46:7 focuses [1] - 20:24 focusing [4] - 4:14, 18:6, 20:8, 20:14 follow [1] - 3:15 following [2] - 15:22, 17:3 forcing [1] - 39:20 foregoing [1] - 48:13 forgetting [1] - 13:9 form [3] - 7:1, 27:19, 31:7 formal [1] - 7:2 former[1] - 43:8 forward [2] - 6:19, 38:4 frame [1] - 33:14 frankly [1] - 38:10 Fraud [1] - 11:13 fraud [8] - 11:16, 12:6, 12:11, 12:20, 13:10, 29:17, 41:25 fraudulently [1] -11:19 front[1] - 46:2 FTC [1] - 22:8 FTC's [1] - 22:9 **FULBRIGHT**[1] - 2:10 fulfill [1] - 24:10 fulfilling [1] - 24:5 full [1] - 43:2 fundamental [1] -41:12 future [1] - 16:3

G

gain [1] - 20:9 gather [1] - 38:23 general [1] - 6:18 generally [7] - 5:9, 5:14, 31:18, 32:18, 33:7, 34:10, 36:22 Geoppinger [12] - 3:8, 3:14, 9:18, 15:20, 18:19, 23:11, 25:14,

30:19, 31:1, 37:25,
40:18, 41:6
GEOPPINGER [27] -
2:7, 3:19, 3:22, 3:25,
5:6, 5:12, 5:24, 6:2,
6:8, 9:19, 12:10,
18:21, 20:18, 25:15,
26:12, 26:18, 27:11,
31:5, 31:10, 34:17,
34:19, 34:23, 44:16,
44:18, 46:15, 46:23,
47:11
Geoppinger's [5] -
11:10, 11:23, 12:2,
28:17, 30:5
Georgia [1] - 2:4
given [1] - 40:23
glad [2] - 4:1, 25:22
gotcha [1] - 34:1
great [8] - 3:25, 6:21,
25:21, 31:24, 35:12,
40:17
greater [1] - 21:20
green [6] - 5:21, 6:3,
6:11, 8:16, 44:20
GREENBERG [1] - 2:2
gross [2] - 16:17, 17:8
ground [1] - 4:4
group [1] - 4:9
guess [3] - 3:14, 25:5,
31:19
guide [1] - 22:10
gut [1] - 8:6
l

Honor [83] - 3:11, 3:19, 4:3, 5:13, 5:24, 6:17, 7:23, 8:9, 9:1, 9:19, 10:19, 11:6, 11:7, 11:25, 12:11, 13:12, 13:25, 14:4, 14:15, 14:24, 15:9, 16:4, 16:20, 17:3, 17:8, 18:3, 18:21, 19:25, 20:6, 20:7, 20:14, 20:18, 22:1, 22:6, 22:16, 23:9, 23:14, 23:16, 23:19, 23:25, 24:3, 24:13, 24:24, 25:15, 28:10, 28:19, 29:1, 29:10, 30:1, 30:14, 31:5, 31:7, 32:17, 33:2, 34:3, 34:5, 34:17, 35:22, 36:9, 36:12, 36:19, 38:5, 38:18, 38:21, 39:5, 39:19, 39:22, 40:7, 41:18, 41:21, 41:22, 42:10, 43:3, 44:10, 44:16, 45:13, 45:17, 45:21, 46:4, 46:19, 47:16, 48:2, 48:10 Honorable [1] - 2:16 honoring [1] - 24:11 horse [2] - 38:21, 43:13

Indiana [1] - 13:2 indicate [1] - 29:2 indicated [2] - 28:11, 43:20 individual [1] - 40:23 22:15 45.8 1 idea [1] - 19:18

individuals [1] - 45:7 Industries [1] - 2:4 industry [1] - 25:24 inform [1] - 38:9 **information** [28] - 6:6, 7:7, 7:21, 9:2, 13:4, 13:10, 14:23, 16:5, 16:25, 18:13, 18:15, 24:25, 25:7, 28:22, 31:8, 31:20, 31:22, 32:1, 32:22, 32:23, 35:25, 37:10, 38:12, 38:23, 42:4, 42:19, 44:3, 46:1 informed [1] - 3:4 initial [1] - 7:1 injury [2] - 22:14, innocent [6] - 4:10, 4:11, 36:14, 36:15, 37:8, 38:1 inquiries [1] - 32:14 inquiry [1] - 20:24 instance [5] - 4:10, 12:24, 20:11, 21:23, instances [1] - 7:15 instead [1] - 17:23 insurance[1] - 25:25 **intended** [1] - 9:6 intensive [1] - 20:24 interest [3] - 14:21, 14:22, 21:3 interpret [2] - 27:8, 41:3 interpreting [1] - 22:7 interrogatories [19] -26:16, 27:9, 27:22, 27:23, 30:10, 30:13, 31:3, 33:21, 33:23, 33:24, 34:8, 34:12, 34:15, 34:25, 35:14, 35:22, 36:5, 41:3

irrelevant [2] - 24:7, 24:12 issue [26] - 4:11, 4:18, 4:25, 5:1, 5:10, 5:21, 7:3, 7:11, 8:4, 11:24, 14:18. 15:14. 17:15. 18:10. 20:4. 22:22. 23:5, 29:11, 31:24, 32:21, 38:9, 39:8, 42:6, 42:7, 43:6, 43:16 19:15, 30:16, 30:17, 38:13, 42:12 43:16 33:12, 33:16 J

10:6, 12:16, 28:25

issues [6] - 7:22, item [3] - 16:15, 17:7, items [3] - 11:22, itself [1] - 8:18

Law[1] - 2:16 lawsuit [1] - 9:24 lay [1] - 6:22 January [2] - 1:7, 44:13 48:16 leave [3] - 19:22, Jeff [1] - 12:5 23:23, 29:2 JEFFREY [1] - 2:7 left[1] - 18:5 JERSEY [1] - 1:1 Leon [1] - 1:17 Jersey [1] - 1:7 John [1] - 3:11 28:12, 31:6 **JOHN**[1] - 1:13 **LIABILITY** [1] - 1:4 Judge [4] - 3:18, liability [5] - 13:1, 23:14, 24:4, 27:21 judgment [14] - 13:13, 36:23 13:15, 13:17, 13:19, liable [2] - 35:18, 13:22, 14:2, 14:3, 35:19 15:20, 22:2, 22:12, likely [1] - 38:9 22:18, 36:17, 37:6, limits [1] - 33:5 38:20 Judicial [1] - 2:16 listed [1] - 14:11

Κ

jury [2] - 21:21, 21:22

July [1] - 4:5

KASS [9] - 1:17, 19:25, 20:2, 23:8, 39:5, 39:8, 42:17, 45:20, 47:4 Kass [9] - 20:2, 23:7, 24:1, 24:14, 41:19, 42:5, 42:16, 44:23, 47:3 Kass's [1] - 45:14 keep [2] - 21:8, 36:6 key [1] - 22:16 keywords [1] - 21:7 kick[1] - 15:1 kicking [2] - 15:14, 33:16 kind [11] - 12:23, 13:4,

13:9, 17:19, 18:14, 25:10, 25:12, 29:20, 29:22, 36:24, 43:23 kinds [2] - 9:24, 14:25 knowledge [2] - 26:2, 38:12 Kugler [4] - 2:16, 3:18, 23:14. 24:4

L label [2] - 37:4, 37:8

laid [1] - 43:10

Larry [1] - 2:17

large [2] - 4:9, 7:17

last [5] - 4:5, 4:13, 5:2, 6:2, 34:9 law [4] - 13:20, 20:21, 27:6, 42:25 least[3] - 5:22, 19:2, letter [4] - 6:23, 20:22, 21:4, 21:11, 21:22, lines [2] - 31:2, 42:2 litigation [6] - 7:25, 8:1, 36:16, 37:17, 41:13, 43:8 **LITIGATION** [1] - 1:4 LLC [1] - 2:5 LLP[5] - 1:13, 1:16, 2:2, 2:7, 2:10 local [1] - 13:18 look[11] - 8:15, 9:21, 21:11, 30:16, 31:10, 31:21, 32:3, 32:4, 37:19, 45:11, 46:16 looking [17] - 8:14, 10:23, 12:3, 13:3, 13:4, 13:6, 31:25, 32:11, 35:2, 35:3, 35:21, 36:5, 38:2, 38:23, 40:2, 40:3, 45:21 **LORETTA** [1] - 2:15 losartan [7] - 3:7,

Н

hand [1] - 32:13 hands [1] - 38:11 happy [1] - 27:24 HARKINS [2] - 2:3, 47:16 heading [1] - 8:19 hear [8] - 3:6, 3:22, 3:23, 11:8, 12:4, 27:25, 41:20, 42:18 heard [7] - 4:2, 6:18, 19:25, 23:12, 40:8, 41:18, 42:16 **HEARING** [1] - 1:4 hearing [2] - 41:24, 48:8 hearings [1] - 14:17 held [1] - 3:1 helpful [1] - 44:12 herring [1] - 41:2 highlighted [5] - 5:21, 6:3, 8:16, 29:16, 44:19 highlighting [2] -6:11, 6:12

honest [1] - 46:3

identify [2] - 10:10, 39:17 II [1] - 12:9 **III** [2] - 1:13, 12:9 imagine [1] - 31:16 implied [1] - 10:7 important[1] - 47:5 improper [9] - 8:21, 9:10, 9:25, 15:6, 20:13, 24:23, 25:3, 30:8, 30:11 inappropriate [2] -43:18, 43:22 Inc [2] - 2:5, 2:5 inclined [1] - 33:12 include [7] - 28:22, 35:11, 35:15, 37:15, 37:20, 38:1, 39:1 included [3] - 7:7, 35:10, 45:8 including [2] - 30:13, 33:3 incorporated [1] -47:23

interrogatory [3] -

invade [1] - 43:1

invading [1] - 42:8

involve [2] - 18:23,

involved [1] - 40:23

involvement [1] -

25:25

38:14

31:13, 35:12, 42:21

interrupting [1] - 47:2

29:6

objection [1] - 34:7

4:16, 4:20, 7:25, 10:6, 12:16, 28:24 losartan/irbesartan [2] - 5:15, 32:8 lost[1] - 44:7 Ltd[1] - 2:4

Μ

MacStravic [2] - 2:17, Maine [1] - 1:14 major [1] - 28:14 manager [1] - 24:22 mandate[1] - 30:3 manner [4] - 30:25, 33:3, 41:7, 43:8 manufacturer [5] -4:22, 24:21, 28:23, 37:1, 37:2 manufacturers [2] -25:20, 45:5 Marie [3] - 1:21, 48:5, 48:16 market[1] - 37:7 markets [1] - 37:3 Master[3] - 3:17, 24:4, 24:8 MASTER [61] - 1:10, 3:1, 3:3, 3:13, 3:21, 3:23, 5:3, 5:10, 5:16, 6:7, 6:14, 6:20, 8:5, 8:10, 9:18, 11:8, 12:4, 15:4, 16:13, 16:24, 17:1, 17:6, 17:22, 18:19, 20:1, 20:16, 23:6, 23:24, 24:2, 24:17, 25:14, 26:9, 26:17, 27:5, 28:8, 29:5, 30:4, 31:1, 31:9, 32:10, 33:6, 33:9, 34:4, 34:16, 34:18, 34:22, 37:24, 38:24, 39:7, 40:9, 41:19, 42:15, 43:15, 44:5, 44:17, 45:14, 46:20, 46:25, 47:6, 47:24, 48:5 material [5] - 13:18, 13:21, 14:1, 14:12, 27:2 matter[9] - 13:19, 17:21, 25:18, 25:23, 30:9, 33:23, 38:10, 46:13, 48:14 matters [2] - 3:7, 5:21 McCormick[1] - 20:22 McKesson [3] - 2:12, 40:7, 40:10 mean [9] - 8:10, 9:21,

19:1, 19:11, 23:19,

26:20, 27:14, 31:10, 43:25 means [2] - 22:17, 35.4 mechanical [1] - 1:23 meet [5] - 7:5, 17:4. 40:13, 44:25, 46:8 meeting [1] - 46:4 mentioned [3] - 20:12, 27:20, 31:6 mentioning [1] - 15:20 **MESTRE**[1] - 1:16 met [2] - 18:8, 18:9 **Miami** [1] - 1:18 might [7] - 14:10, 21:3, 31:18, 32:22, 33:18, 33:22, 44:7 mind [1] - 13:3 misrepresent[1] -10:12 misrepresentation [11] - 8:22, 9:22, 9:23, 9:25, 10:9, 10:10, 10:11, 10:15, 15:7, 29:18, 40:19 misrepresentations 111 - 41:14 missed [1] - 16:6 misstatement[1] -20:21 Mitchell [3] - 1:6, 1:21, 48:16 moment[1] - 40:8 morning [2] - 3:19, 4:1 **most** [2] - 8:2, 12:10 motion [8] - 13:17, 15:20, 22:2, 22:4, 22:18, 22:20, 43:6, 43:10 **MOTION** [1] - 1:4 motions [2] - 13:13, 38:20 move [4] - 15:17, 16:14, 24:18, 29:14 moved [1] - 13:14 MR [70] - 3:11, 3:19, 3:22, 3:25, 5:6, 5:12, 5:24, 6:1, 6:2, 6:8, 6:17, 6:21, 8:8, 9:1, 9:19, 11:6, 11:10, 12:10, 15:9, 16:20, 16:25, 17:3, 17:7, 18:3, 18:21, 19:25, 20:2. 20:18. 23:8. 23:25, 24:3, 24:24, 25:15, 26:12, 26:18, 27:11, 28:10, 29:10, 30:14, 31:5, 31:10, 32:17, 33:7, 34:3,

34:5, 34:17, 34:19,

34:23, 38:5, 39:3, 39:5, 39:8, 41:18, 41:21, 42:17, 43:19, 44:10, 44:16, 44:18, 45:13, 45:16, 45:20, 46:15, 46:18, 46:23, 46:24, 47:4, 47:11, 47:16, 48:2

MS [2] - 40:7, 40:10 multiple [3] - 15:25, 18:4, 20:23 muted [1] - 3:10

Ν

narrow [2] - 7:22, 31:24 narrowed [3] - 30:17, 36:1, 42:12 **NE**[1] - 2:3 necessarily [3] -35:13, 35:21, 35:22 necessary [1] - 30:6 need [13] - 8:6, 9:24, 11:2, 15:1, 16:1, 19:3, 19:21, 32:22, 33:14, 41:7, 42:11, 46:12 needed [2] - 30:16, 41:11 needs [2] - 19:23, 42:19 negate [2] - 39:14, 39:17 net [2] - 16:17, 17:9 never[1] - 33:25 **NEW**[1] - 1:1 New [1] - 1:7 news [1] - 6:4 next[2] - 10:13, 10:23 non [1] - 47:17 non-wholesaler [1] -47:17 none[1] - 10:21 normal [1] - 15:16 **NORTON** [1] - 2:10 note[1] - 19:6 noted [2] - 35:8, 35:25 nothing [5] - 47:11, 47:13, 47:17, 48:2, 48:8 notice [1] - 40:17 **NUMBER**[1] - 1:2 number [2] - 29:15, 47:21 Number [1] - 3:17 numbers [1] - 36:9

O objected [2] - 12:7,

objectionable [1] -16:19 objections [2] - 29:9. 34:14 obligations [3] - 24:6, 24:12, 40:12 obtain [1] - 21:24 **obviously** [1] - 19:20 Official [1] - 1:21 **often** [1] - 34:1 Ohio [1] - 2:8 omission [1] - 29:18 once [6] - 17:12, 18:15, 30:21, 35:25, 42:9, 44:2 one [26] - 5:17, 6:16, 8:6, 8:17, 10:5, 10:24, 13:14, 16:15, 16:20, 16:23, 17:25, 20:4, 22:14, 23:25, 27:3, 29:20, 34:3, 37:2, 37:18, 37:21, 41:19, 44:18, 44:19, 45:5 One [1] - 1:14 ones [4] - 4:23, 31:17, 35:11 ongoing [1] - 38:25 open [12] - 6:17, 8:8, 11:3, 30:15, 30:20, 31:14, 32:17, 32:21, 35:8, 44:10, 48:4 opportunity [1] - 38:6 opposed [3] - 19:4, 34:6, 46:11 opposing [1] - 39:18 order [3] - 24:5, 32:6, 47:23 **Order** [3] - 3:17, 24:4, 24:8 ordered [1] - 24:4 orders [1] - 23:20 ordinarily [2] - 15:16. 38:17 original [1] - 5:7 otherwise [1] - 27:24 ought [4] - 18:14, 28:19, 30:2, 42:7 ourselves [1] - 36:18 outcome [2] - 7:24, 24:16 outlined [3] - 6:22, 28:12, 43:25 outset [1] - 37:17 outside [5] - 7:13,

25:10, 29:3, 32:12,

outweighed [1] -

32:19

22:15 owe [1] - 41:5 own [3] - 37:4, 37:8, 46:16 owns [2] - 37:1, 37:2

P p.m [3] - 1:8, 3:2, 48:11 **PACHIOS**[1] - 1:13 page [9] - 8:17, 8:18, 11:11, 15:11, 16:14, 22:3, 24:18, 43:17 part [8] - 7:17, 8:24, 21:9, 27:10, 38:16, 38:17, 39:1, 44:6 particular [4] - 17:7, 37:2, 40:13, 46:21 particularity [2] -8:20, 15:5 particularly [1] - 38:8 parties [6] - 5:4, 7:2, 7:21, 9:7, 9:14, 46:6 parts [1] - 12:9 party [10] - 4:20, 24:20, 25:2, 25:21, 26:2, 26:5, 26:11, 26:24, 27:15, 28:20 passed [1] - 23:18 past [2] - 21:4, 38:8 path [1] - 7:25 patience [1] - 48:6 paying [2] - 24:6, 24:9 payment[1] - 25:25 payors [2] - 4:20, 26:2 PBMs [1] - 25:21 people [1] - 3:4 people's [1] - 18:16 per[1] - 13:18 perhaps [2] - 36:1, 37:1 **permissible**[1] - 29:3 permitted [2] - 39:19, 40:5 person [2] - 39:11 perspective [4] - 7:12, 12:19, 29:14, 36:11 Pharma [1] - 2:5 Pharmaceutical[1] -2:4 Pharmaceuticals [1] -2:5 pharmacy [1] - 24:22 phrased [2] - 43:21, 44:11 piece [2] - 4:7, 33:8 Piedmont[1] - 2:3 places [1] - 13:2

plaintiff [5] - 11:17,

16:16, 25:11, 25:12,

recently [1] - 13:13

41:10
41:12 plaintiff-specific [1] -
11:17
Plaintiffs [2] - 1:15,
1:18
plaintiffs [39] - 3:9,
3:12, 4:17, 5:5, 6:8,
7:13, 12:15, 12:23,
13:5, 13:13, 14:2,
14:10, 14:21, 16:16,
19:3, 19:17, 20:3,
21:13, 21:17, 21:19,
21:25, 22:5, 22:11,
23:3, 25:4, 25:19,
26:20, 27:5, 27:14,
28:11, 29:20, 33:13,
34:24, 35:6, 40:12,
44:21, 44:24, 45:10,
46:16
plaintiffs' [3] - 3:6,
28:5, 45:4
*
plan [1] - 31:20 plead [1] - 40:25
pleading [1] - 40:12
point [19] - 13:12,
16:3, 19:1, 19:10,
24:15, 25:6, 26:14,
31:11, 32:5, 33:1,
41:5, 42:11, 43:22,
44:2, 44:3, 44:11,
44:14
points [2] - 7:8, 42:13
policies [3] - 43:17,
44:8, 46:11
policy [2] - 22:9, 22:10
Ponce[1] - 1:17
popped [1] - 41:9
Portland [1] - 1:14
position [15] - 6:18,
7:16, 8:1, 9:1, 14:9,
14:23, 17:10, 17:14,
30:14, 32:16, 33:7,
36:2, <i>4</i> 2:24, 43:25,
44:13
positions [1] - 33:10
possession [2] -
37:11, 37:20
possible [1] - 17:25
possibly [1] - 14:1
posture [1] - 15:22
practice [4] - 8:21,
9:25, 15:6, 29:17
practices [1] - 9:10
precisely [1] - 9:3
predict _[2] - 7:24, 25:5
prejudge [1] - 7:24
prejudice [1] - 12:17
preliminary [3] - 7:21,
16:10, 44:4
premature[1] - 18:1
[

prepare [1] - 30:7 prepared [1] - 5:12 PRESENT [1] - 2:14 present [2] - 30:20, 47:9 presented[2] - 17:16, 44:14 presumably [1] -11:23 presume [1] - 47:3 **presuming** [1] - 46:15 pretend [1] - 41:13 pretense [1] - 29:18 **PRETI**[1] - 1:13 pretty [2] - 9:20, 46:17 prevail [2] - 10:1, 10:7 previously [1] - 35:4 privilege [2] - 42:6, 43:2 problem [1] - 33:24 problems [1] - 7:11 **Procedure** [1] - 39:20 proceed [6] - 5:17, 6:15, 7:2, 10:1, 14:19, 31:2 proceeded [1] - 34:9 Proceedings [2] -1:23, 48:11 proceedings [1] -48:14 PROCEEDINGS [1] -3:1 process [2] - 17:17, 30:15 produce [6] - 16:16, 17:1, 25:19, 27:16, 28:6, 39:17 produced [3] - 1:24, 32:15, 33:15 product [9] - 21:21,

24:11, 37:4, 39:21,

26:13. 26:16. 27:6.

29:13, 30:25, 33:4,

35:1, 39:9, 39:12,

42:21, 43:1, 45:4,

PRODUCTS[1] - 1:3

products [1] - 36:20

profited [2] - 21:19,

profits [4] - 16:18,

17:9, 21:18, 24:11

prohibition [1] - 28:13

prohibited [1] - 30:2

promise[1] - 29:18

promptly [1] - 47:7

prong [1] - 22:8

45:6. 45:25

24:5

28:1, 28:14, 29:4,

42:6, 42:8, 43:2

production [17] -

29.12 proper [2] - 9:13, 12:1 proposed [4] - 7:4, 7:9, 15:12, 28:15 **propound** [1] - 30:12 protect [1] - 36:19 protection [10] - 10:1, 12:21, 13:8, 13:15, 13:20, 14:11, 22:3, 22:21, 22:24, 35:20 **prove** [6] - 9:24, 10:8, 12:24, 13:1, 28:7, 37:21 provide [6] - 19:5, 19:9, 19:16, 19:17, 32:6, 33:25 provided [1] - 38:14 purpose[1] - 6:25 pursue[1] - 31:3 put [6] - 7:15, 8:2, 15:2, 27:1, 32:5, 45.6 putting [3] - 36:24, 38:21, 43:12 Q questions [3] - 11:4. 14:14, 41:10 quote [1] - 22:6 **RE**[1] - 1:3

pronounced [1] -

R raise [1] - 42:14 raised [1] - 37:17 raising [1] - 38:18 rather[1] - 8:12 **RDR**[1] - 1:21 reached[1] - 45:23 reaction [1] - 8:7 read [1] - 21:16 readily [1] - 20:7 ready [1] - 3:6 reaffirmed [1] - 23:15 real [1] - 10:19 reality [1] - 23:11 realized [1] - 23:4 really [14] - 8:1, 9:15, 17:19, 18:14, 20:5, 25:7, 33:25, 36:19, 42:7, 42:23, 46:3, 46:6, 46:12 rear [1] - 41:9 reason [2] - 19:21, 20:13 reasons [2] - 20:12, received [2] - 7:9, 31:21

recognized [1] - 4:8 record [4] - 34:6. 43:16, 47:18, 48:14 recorded [1] - 1:23 recordkeeping [1] -43:20 recover[1] - 21:18 red [1] - 41:2 refer/relate [1] - 29:16 reference [2] - 22:1, 38:19 **referenced**[1] - 12:14 referencing [1] -39:12 referring [2] - 11:12, 11:23 reflected [1] - 47:18 regard [1] - 4:12 regarding [4] - 5:2, 28:23, 38:13, 43:19 regardless [1] - 22:20 regular [1] - 39:10 rejected [3] - 20:7, 23:14 relate [2] - 15:12, 36:13 related [1] - 28:24 relationship [2] -26:25, 27:15 relevant [3] - 25:16, 32:14, 38:2 reliance [2] - 10:14, 40:21 relied [4] - 40:20, 40:21, 41:15 relitigate [1] - 23:19 remedy [2] - 42:20 renew [1] - 29:23 reply [1] - 12:5 report [1] - 46:21 Reporter [1] - 1:21 Reporter/ Transcriber[1] -48:17 represent[1] - 40:10 representations [1] -11:18 request [51] - 5:18, 6:16, 9:2, 9:4, 9:9, 9:15, 9:21, 9:22, 10:23, 10:24, 11:23, 12:1, 13:19, 16:20, 17:15, 18:9, 18:22, 19:7, 24:19, 25:3, 25:8, 25:9, 25:10, 26:13, 27:4, 28:15, 29:4, 29:15, 30:25, 31:23, 37:3, 39:10,

39:12, 41:4, 42:21,

43:19, 43:20, 44:6, 44:9, 45:1, 45:3, 45:4, 45:9, 45:24, 46:13, 46:21 requested [2] - 7:10. 38:9 reauests [61] - 4:6. 4:9. 4:10. 4:13. 5:6. 5:7, 5:8, 5:11, 5:13, 6:3, 7:16, 7:19, 8:3, 8:16, 10:20, 10:22, 13:3, 15:25, 18:5, 20:5, 24:25, 25:5, 26:16, 27:6, 27:8, 27:18, 28:3, 28:13, 28:22, 29:6, 29:9, 29:12, 29:13, 29:22, 30:2, 30:19, 31:12, 31:15, 32:3, 32:11, 33:4, 33:5, 34:7, 34:13, 34:25, 35:10, 36:1, 37:19, 39:9, 41:24, 42:9, 42:25, 45:6, 46:6, 46:16, 47.21 require [3] - 7:17, 7:18, 9:14 required [1] - 17:24 requires [1] - 20:24 reserve[1] - 33:3 reserved[1] - 34:15 reserving [1] - 34:7 resolve[1] - 46:9 respect [18] - 3:15, 4:14, 4:16, 4:20, 5:1, 5:22, 10:6, 19:2, 20:19, 21:12, 21:13, 27:3, 29:12, 31:15, 31:17, 34:19, 36:8, 41:25 respectfully [2] -23:20, 24:16 respond [7] - 9:14, 11:6, 15:25, 16:1, 17:22, 17:25, 18:20 RESPONSE[1] -48:10 response [6] - 11:10, 18:1, 20:17, 26:23, 28:9, 38:10 responses [1] - 31:21 responsibilities [1] -41:12 responsive [2] -27:15, 38:10 **RET**[1] - 1:9 retailer [1] - 4:24 retailers [1] - 25:20 retention [3] - 43:17, 44:8, 46:11

serve [3] - 27:23,

set [2] - 16:23, 18:13

35:13, 35:21

sets [1] - 7:6

revenue [2] - 16:17,
17:8
review [1] - 17:12
revisit [4] - 7:20,
29:24, 46:18, 46:20
revisited [1] - 18:18
revisiting [2] - 32:21,
44:11
RFPs [1] - 41:3
rights [1] - 33:3
risk [2] - 42:8, 42:10
RIVERO [1] - 1:16
road [5] - 15:2, 15:15,
17:13, 19:19, 33:17
Road [1] - 2:3
roadblock [1] - 28:14
Robert [1] - 2:16
ROSE [1] - 2:10
Ross [1] - 2:11
rounds [1] - 7:5
route [3] - 5:18, 18:5,
18:25
Rule [5] - 28:12, 30:3,
30:21, 33:4
ruled [1] - 23:13
Rules [1] - 39:19
rules [4] - 13:18, 14:7,
19:16, 40:6
ruling [3] - 30:9,
31:13, 32:2

S

Schneider [1] - 27:22 scope [5] - 7:13, 9:3, 25:11, 29:3, 32:19 search[1] - 29:19 searching [1] - 28:17 secondarily [1] - 25:3 secondly [1] - 42:5 section [4] - 11:12, 12:6, 12:19, 46:7 **see**[7] - 3:8, 6:5, 14:1, 19:21, 28:13, 29:20, 45:22 seek [1] - 30:6 seeking [4] - 12:8, 13:10, 16:5, 26:18 seem [2] - 32:12, 32:13 seller [5] - 4:11, 36:14, 36:15, 37:8, 38:1 sellers [1] - 24:10 sense [3] - 37:25, 42:10, 46:8 sensitive [2] - 30:4, 33:10 separate [1] - 13:10 separately [1] - 34:21 September [2] -

14:18, 19:14

seven [1] - 7:6 several [3] - 7:5, 7:11, 17.4 sheet [46] - 4:18, 4:19, 5:1, 5:5, 6:25, 7:3, 7:7, 7:10, 7:14, 9:5, 9:6, 11:11, 13:25, 14:15, 14:20, 14:21, 16:4, 16:12, 16:22, 17:17, 18:12, 19:11, 24:24, 25:4, 25:12, 25:19, 27:19, 29:1, 29:4, 29:21, 30:15, 31:23, 32:4, 32:23, 33:13, 35:9, 37:15, 38:17, 39:2, 41:24, 43:24, 44:15 sheets [6] - 3:7, 7:20, 26:14, 27:20, 33:14, 35:5 short[1] - 4:3 **show**[3] - 3:5, 24:19, 25:6 shows [1] - 27:15 side [4] - 5:4, 39:18, 39:22, 41:9 significant [2] - 7:9, 42:7 similar [2] - 4:6, 17:15 simple [4] - 9:20, 12:10, 35:15, 39:20 simply [13] - 3:15, 7:14, 9:13, 16:1, 16:4, 29:11, 38:22, 38:25, 39:10, 40:5, 41:15, 43:1 situation [4] - 16:8, 16:10, 18:5, 18:9 **SMITH** [1] - 2:15 **SMO**[1] - 22:23 so.. [1] - 47:5 solely [1] - 22:24 someday [1] - 27:3 sometimes [1] - 48:6 somewhere [1] -19:19 sort [3] - 5:14, 43:12, 46:2 sounds [2] - 26:9, 26:10 speaking [4] - 10:12, 28:2, 30:18, 45:22 Special [3] - 3:17, 24:4, 24:8 SPECIAL [61] - 1:10, 3:1, 3:3, 3:13, 3:21,

3:23, 5:3, 5:10, 5:16, 6:7, 6:14, 6:20, 8:5, 8:10, 9:18, 11:8, 12:4, 15:4, 16:13, 16:24, 17:1, 17:6, 17:22, 18:19, 20:1, 20:16, 23:6, 23:24, 24:2, 24:17, 25:14, 26:9, 26:17, 27:5, 28:8, 29:5, 30:4, 31:1, 31:9, 32:10, 33:6, 33:9, 34:4, 34:16, 34:18, 34:22, 37:24, 38:24, 39:7, 40:9, 41:19, 42:15, 43:15, 44:5, 44:17, 45:14, 46:20, 46:25, 47:6, 47:24, 48:5 specific [9] - 5:7, 5:13, 9:9, 11:12, 11:17, 12:2, 15:13, 27:13, 31:15 specifically [2] -41:25, 44:22 stage [11] - 9:13, 16:23, 17:18, 18:12, 18:13, 25:4, 29:25, 38:22, 42:12, 43:24 standardized [1] -6:25 standing [3] - 43:5, 43:6, 43:9 start [1] - 3:14 started[1] - 3:3 state [3] - 16:1, 28:20, 37:9 statement [8] - 13:18, 13:21, 14:1, 14:12, 22:9, 27:2, 34:21, 35:16 statements [1] - 42:1 states [1] - 36:18 **STATES**[1] - 1:1 statute[2] - 35:20, 37:9 statutes [1] - 36:24 stenography [1] -1:23 **STEVEN**[1] - 2:3 stick[1] - 10:4 still [4] - 8:5, 8:12, 27:23, 39:8 **stipulation** [1] - 12:13 stop[1] - 43:2 story [1] - 28:2 straightforward [2] -25:8, 28:25 Street [1] - 2:8 Streets [1] - 1:6

stuff [4] - 6:4, 18:14, 36:25, 38:16 subheading [1] - 12:8 subject [1] - 44:25 submission [2] - 5:22, 6.2 submit[1] - 14:2 subpoints [1] - 16:21 subsets [1] - 17:2 **substance**[1] - 31:7 substantiality [2] -22:14, 22:15 substantive [1] -14:20 success[1] - 25:13 successfully [1] -40:24 sued [1] - 4:20 sufficient [1] - 24:19 suggest[2] - 23:1, 37:14 suggested [1] - 41:6 Suite [4] - 1:17, 2:3, 2:8, 2:11 summary [13] - 13:13, 13:14, 13:17, 13:22, 14:2, 14:3, 15:19, 22:2, 22:12, 22:18, 36:16, 37:6, 38:19 summer [4] - 4:13, 5:2, 12:25, 25:24 supplement[3] - 16:2, 18:10, 19:18 supplemented [1] -19:23 supplier [2] - 36:22, 37:3 suppliers [1] - 36:17 support [7] - 13:21, 14:2, 14:10, 26:7, 35:16, 39:14, 39:16 **supports** [1] - 13:19 supposed [2] - 16:10, 20:7 surprise[1] - 37:18 sympathetic [1] - 41:5 system [1] - 25:25 T

tasked [1] - 22:7
Teams [3] - 1:4, 3:1, 3:4
technical [1] - 4:1
technically [1] - 6:9
tedious [1] - 8:10
tend [1] - 37:21
term [1] - 13:9
terms [2] - 30:19, 38:12
test [2] - 18:11, 22:13

Teva [2] - 2:4, 2:5 Texas [2] - 2:12, 13:2 the Court [22] - 13:21, 22:9, 22:11, 22:13, 23:11, 23:14, 23:20, 26:14, 27:24, 31:17, 31:22, 32:2, 35:4, 35:6, 35:9, 35:11, 35:23, 36:3, 36:17, 41:6, 41:10, 47:15 therefore [1] - 21:23 they've [3] - 7:9, 35:5, 45.9 thinks [2] - 43:9 Third [1] - 22:7 third [11] - 4:20, 21:5, 24:20, 25:2, 25:21, 26:2, 26:5, 26:11, 26:24, 27:15, 28:20 third-party [10] - 4:20, 24:20, 25:2, 25:21, 26:2, 26:5, 26:11, 26:24, 27:15, 28:20 THOMAS [2] - 1:9, 3:2 three [2] - 20:4, 22:13 time-consuming [1] -8:11 today [3] - 47:10, 48:6, 48:8 together [2] - 30:3, 36:25 totality [3] - 20:25, 21:11, 21:12 towards [1] - 42:10 **TPP**[9] - 5:15, 9:5, 11:11, 20:2, 21:17, 21:18, 21:25, 23:3, 24:24 **TPP's** [1] - 9:5 **TPPs** [34] - 3:12, 6:23, 7:8, 7:15, 7:23, 8:2, 9:9, 9:14, 10:6, 11:15, 15:10, 15:25, 16:16, 16:21, 17:9, 17:21, 20:6, 20:8, 22:18, 22:22, 24:5, 24:9, 25:1, 25:5, 28:22, 30:19, 32:8, 32:20, 34:6, 34:15, 36:3, 37:9, 48:3 TPPs'[2] - 9:1, 42:24 track[1] - 31:11 transaction [1] - 40:23 transcript [2] - 1:23, 48:13

transcription [1] -

TRAURIG [1] - 2:2

treading [2] - 41:16,

1:24

41:22

study [1] - 33:11

trick[1] - 11:2 triple [1] - 45:2 trouble [1] - 44:8 try [2] - 41:16, 42:22 trying [11] - 14:14, 19:11, 19:12, 19:13, 19:15, 31:11, 34:2, 35:5, 36:12, 43:4, 43:5 turns [1] - 44:9 two [4] - 5:6, 22:14, 23:1, 42:13 type [2] - 34:14, 38:16 typical [1] - 25:11 typically [2] - 18:6, 25:7

U

U.S[1] - 1:6 **UE**[1] - 20:24 **ULMER**[1] - 2:7 ultimately [1] - 14:1 unable [1] - 17:20 unconscionable [1] -29.17 under [11] - 11:13, 11:24, 12:8, 14:7, 21:6, 21:7, 24:15, 37:4, 37:7, 39:19 underlie [2] - 28:5, 28:6 **underlying** [1] - 10:25 unfair [5] - 13:8, 13:23, 21:8, 21:17, 35:19 unfairness [3] - 22:8, 22:10, 22:13 unheard [1] - 41:13 **UNITED**[1] - 1:1 unjust [14] - 4:7, 4:14, 4:17, 10:2, 12:22, 12:25, 20:6, 20:8, 21:4, 21:14, 21:15, 22:25, 24:12, 35:18 unjustly [1] - 24:7 unless [3] - 36:23, 47:12, 48:3 unlike [1] - 28:12 unnecessarily [1] -33:18 up [5] - 3:5, 23:16, 31:19, 35:23, 36:15 **US**[1] - 2:10 **USA** [1] - 2:5 uses [1] - 21:16

V

vacation [1] - 47:2 **VALSARTAN**[1] - 1:3 valsartan [20] - 4:12, 4:14, 4:23, 5:2, 6:13, 7:4, 7:7, 7:25, 12:13, 12:17, 13:14, 14:17, 16:22, 19:12, 20:7, 20:15, 22:3, 22:12, 22:21, 25:13 value [3] - 20:8, 20:9, 38:14 VANASKIE [61] - 1:9, 3:2, 3:3, 3:13, 3:21, 3:23, 5:3, 5:10, 5:16, 6:7, 6:14, 6:20, 8:5, 8:10, 9:18, 11:8, 12:4, 15:4, 16:13, 16:24, 17:1, 17:6, 17:22, 18:19, 20:1, 20:16, 23:6, 23:24, 24:2, 24:17, 25:14, 26:9, 26:17, 27:5, 28:8, 29:5, 30:4, 31:1, 31:9, 32:10, 33:6, 33:9, 34:4, 34:16, 34:18, 34:22, 37:24, 38:24, 39:7, 40:9, 41:19, 42:15, 43:15, 44:5, 44:17, 45:14, 46:20, 46:25, 47:6, 47:24, 48:5 VCDs [2] - 24:6, 24:9 vehicle [2] - 31:25, 42:22 verbatim [1] - 45:3 via [7] - 1:4, 3:1, 14:15, 18:25, 19:9, 27:18, 35:12 view [3] - 25:3, 38:22, 42:10

Vine [1] - 2:8 violation [1] - 35:20 voluminous [1] -14:16

W

wait [1] - 14:3 waive [1] - 33:10 waiver [3] - 14:24, 19:15, 30:5 wants [3] - 27:24, 32:2, 41:7 warranty [1] - 10:7 waste [1] - 23:16 wasting [1] - 18:6 water [4] - 23:18, 24:15, 41:16, 41:22 week[1] - 6:2 weigh [2] - 38:6, 47:13 whatnot [1] - 38:20 whole [4] - 12:6, 25:24, 25:25, 47:14

wholesaler [32] - 4:7, 4:22, 5:3, 5:8, 5:11, 8:22, 9:11, 10:3, 10:4, 11:24, 12:12, 15:7, 20:10, 21:18, 21:20, 23:2, 24:21, 28:24, 31:14, 31:15, 32:7, 36:20, 38:13, 38:15, 40:11, 40:23, 45:22, 46:5, 47:11, 47:17, 47:20 Wholesaler [2] - 2:9, 8:19 wholesalers [15] -5:14, 6:24, 7:10, 12:3, 12:20, 13:3, 21:23, 24:7, 25:1, 25:20, 26:1, 29:22, 30:20, 36:13, 45:5 wholesalers' [2] -4:11, 12:19 willing [3] - 18:23, 19:8, 35:23 wise [1] - 37:20 wish [1] - 29:23 word [3] - 21:16, 22:8, 46:13 wording [1] - 46:17 works [2] - 25:24, 25:25 worry [1] - 3:5 worthless [1] - 24:6 write [1] - 19:5 writing [1] - 11:21 wrongdoing [5] -8:21, 9:10, 10:9, 13:2, 15:6 wrongful [1] - 13:6 wrote [1] - 46:17 Wyndham [1] - 22:7

Y

year [1] - 4:5 years [1] - 34:10 yellow [1] - 6:12 yesterday [4] - 5:21, 5:22, 6:10, 8:15

Z

ZALMAN[1] - 1:17 Zalman [4] - 20:2, 39:3, 45:16, 45:19 zero [1] - 40:17